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Abstract: Background: Premarital screening (PMS) is a health promotion activity that helps to decrease the incidence of certain genetic disorders and sexually transmitted diseases. The nurse has an integral role in providing genetic services that include assessing genetic risk, providing information, discussing available testing options, and providing appropriate supportive counseling. Purpose: To assess the knowledge, perception and attitude of future couples towards premarital screening. Design: A descriptive cross-sectional design was utilized. Setting: The present study was conducted in the Qebly Maternal and Child Health (MCH) center in Shebin El-Kom city in Menoufia Governorate. Sample: A convenience sample of three hundred and sixty future couples was used. Instruments: Instrument I: a structured interviewing questionnaire. Instrument II: attitude of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening and genetic counseling. Results: The results of the present study revealed that approximately three-quarters of the studied future couples (73.3%) had heard about PMS, but approximately two-thirds of the studied future couples (69.40%) had inadequate knowledge about premarital screening. More than one-half of the studied future couples (50.80%) had a positive perception of premarital screening. Approximately two-thirds of the studied future couples (68.30%) had a positive attitude about premarital screening. There were a positive correlation among the total knowledge score, the total attitude score and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening. Conclusion: There was a positive perception and attitude toward premarital screening. There were a positive correlation among the total knowledge score, the total attitude score and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening. Recommendations: Knowledge of the community regarding premarital screening should be increased in collaboration with adequate religious support and government policy. The effect of education programs regarding premarital screening on university students' knowledge, perception, and attitude should be studied.
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Introduction

Premarital screening is defined as sets of tests intended for couples planning to marry soon for common genetic blood disorders (primary hemoglobinopathy, such as thalassemia and sickle cell anemia) and infectious diseases (such as hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and HIV/AIDS) (Al-Shafai, et al. 2022).

Alhosain, (2018) revealed that premarital screening is intended to provide medical advice about the possibilities of transmitting the above diseases to the partner/spouse or other children and to provide couples/partners with options to help them plan a healthy family. Premarital screening reduces the prevalence of
certain genetic disorders and sexually transmitted diseases and also reduces the financial burden of their treatment. Reduce the burden on state health facilities and blood banks.

Mohamdy et al., (2017) reported that premarital screening increases awareness of a healthy and wholesome marriage. Premarital exams may also include tests for syphilis, gonorrhea, and other sexually transmitted diseases, blood draw, resuscitation factor, semen analysis, FSH, prolactin, testosterone, and estrogen hormones, among others.

Premarital counseling represents a form of therapy prepared to help couples improve their readiness for marriage, identify problems in their relationship, and provide them with skills to resolve current and future conflicts. In addition, couples express their individual needs, preferences, and expectations regarding marriage and learn to resolve differences in mutually satisfactory ways (Bittles, 2017).

PMS has been implemented in several countries worldwide, it was mandated in other countries, and especially those with high consanguinity rate such as countries in the Middle East the disease screened as part of PMS vary across countries. For example, in Saudi Arabia, it is mandatory for sickle cell disease, thalassemia, HIV, and hepatitis B and C. In Egypt, PMS is mandatory only to conduct hemoglobinopathy screening (Alhosain, 2018).

Batey, (2016) defined perception as the organization, identification, and interpretation of sensory information in order to represent and understand the environment or is the awareness of elements interpreted in the light of experience.

Factors are attributes that have an influence on an individual and how the individual perceives the environment, socio-demographic characteristics, structural facilities of setting, care provider's technical competence, access to health facilities, health personal professional knowledge and skills, past experience their good interpersonal relationship with the client and their satisfaction all influence on the perception of maternal health care (Eshetu, 2015).

The health care professionals are logical choices for conveying valid information about pre-marital screening and genetic counseling. The Nurse has an integral role in providing genetic services, utilizing a variety of communication and information gathering techniques to gather the information that includes assessing genetic risk, providing information, discussing available testing options and providing appropriate supportive counseling (Ali et al., 2018).

Significance of the study:
Genetic blood disorders are widespread in Arab countries and are considered the main causes of infant and child death, morbidity, and disability (Howard, 2020). Ali et al., (2018) reported that hereditary hematological diseases, especially sickle cell anemia (SCA), and thalassemia make up one of the most common groups of genetic disorders globally. He added that approximately 240 million people are carriers of these disorders and at least 200,000 affected individuals are born annually, approximately equally divided between sickle cell anemia and thalassemia.

Yousifa et al., (2018) and Awoyeni, (2015) mentioned that, in Egypt hereditary disorders and congenital malformation is estimated that 2.8% of them live in urban areas and 8.4% of them live in rural, this is almost attributable to consanguineous marriage. El-Gilany et al., (2017) reported that consanguineous marriage is one of the most important issues discussed by premarital counseling. It
is marriages between blood relatives or marriages between second cousins or closer. In Egypt, The incidence of consanguineous marriage in the general population was found to be 27.4%. The highest incidence was in the rural areas. First cousin marriages occurred more often than the other types of consanguinity. According to the review of literature Ali et al., (2018) who studied the perception about premarital screening and genetic counseling among males and females nursing students reported that the participants' attitude toward premarital screening and genetic counseling was positive but insufficient awareness concerning those diseases it focuses and targets. Also the vast majority of them agreed to perform PMS and their attitude regarding premarital screening was found to be affected by their gender, and personal and family history of hereditary diseases. Yousifa et al., (2018) who studied the perception and satisfaction of premarital screening and genetic counseling among future couples in governmental outpatient clinics showed that future couples’ total knowledge about the PMS program is inadequate but their attitude regarding premarital counseling and examination was a positive attitude. Also, their knowledge was found to be affected by socio-demographic data (education, age, residence-&income). However, PMS services are very limited and most couples get married without any preparations which may increase the maternal and fetal risks. For this reason, this study will be conducted to evaluate the perception of premarital screening and genetic counseling among future couples.

**Purpose of the Study:**
To assess knowledge, perception and attitude of future couples toward premarital screening and genetic counseling.

**Research questions:**
- What are the knowledge, perception and attitude of future couples toward premarital screening?
- What is the relationship among the total knowledge score, total attitude score and total perception score of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening?

**Research Design:**
A descriptive cross-sectional design was used to carry out the present study.

**Research Settings:**
The present study was conducted in the Maternal and Child Health (MCH) center (Qebly) in Shebin El-Kom city in Menoufia Governorate. This center provides services to the community including; future couple screening, obtaining a marriage certificate, maternal and child health services, antenatal, natal, postnatal care, vaccination during pregnancy and family planning services.

**Sampling:**
A convenience sample of three hundred and sixty future couples from the MCH center (Qebly) who fulfilled the following criteria: Both genders: Male and female planned to get married. There is a high flow rate of future couples attending these centers from the different surrounding cities and villages that are near to Shebin El-Kom city. The flow rate of future couples is 3400 yearly at Qebly Maternal and Child Health center.

**Sample size:**
The flow rate of future couples is 3400 yearly at Qebly Maternal and Child Health center. Based on a past review of the literature (Ali et al., 2018) who reported that 78.3% of the studied participants were perceptive about the premarital screening availability, the sample size has been
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calculated using the following equation: \( n = \frac{z^2 \times p \times q}{D^2} \) at 80% power and CI 95% and it will be 360 participants.

**Instruments**

**Instrument I: A structured interviewing Questionnaire**

This instrument was used by the researcher to obtain complete data concerning premarital screening and genetic counseling. This instrument consisted of three parts:

- **Part (I):** Demographic characteristics of the studied future couples as age, gender, education, residence, occupation, income, relative relationship, parental consanguinity, personal history of hereditary disease and family history of hereditary disease.

- **Part (II):** Level of knowledge of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening. This part was developed by the researcher and revised by 5 experts through checking validity measures to assess the level of knowledge of the studied future couples regarding premariital screening e.g. hearing before regarding premarital screening, sources of knowledge about premarital screening (PMS), know the meaning of PMS, know objectives of PMS, know infectious diseases that are focused on PMS, know hereditary diseases that are focused on PMS, know different options of places performing PMS, know tests that are involved in PMS services, know what physical examination is involved in PMS services.

**Scoring system:**

The total knowledge score included (9) closed-ended questions. Each incorrect answer was scored (0) and the correct answer was scored (1). If the total score was indicating in three categories as the following: adequate >70% of total knowledge score the level of knowledge, the level of knowledge was moderate: 70% - > 50% of total knowledge score and the level of knowledge was inadequate if the present study score was less than 50% (Al-Shroby et al., 2021; Babu et al., Binshihon et al., 2018; Ibrahim et al., 2013).

- **Part (III):** Perception of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening. This part was developed by the researcher and revised by three experts’ specialists in Maternal and Newborn Health through checking validity measures to assess the perception of the future couples regarding premarital screening and genetic counseling.

- It includes e.g. Is it important for the future couples?, raise awareness about PMS before marriage, will reduce the prevalence of some genetic diseases and the prevalence of some sexually transmitted diseases. Consanguinity can increase the risk of hereditary disease, PMS should be confidential, also cause psychological trouble to the couples, religious people should adopt the ideas of PMS in their discussion, the law should obligate all future couples to do PMS is important, marriage decision must be left for freedom of the couples, medical counseling is important to be given after getting the results, any disease appeared in one of the couples has to be treated before marriage.

**Scoring system:**

Questions regarding the perception of premarital screening included 12 questions. The rate of scoring was from 1-5 that indicating 5-Likert scale used after modifications by researcher and revised by 5 experts through checking validity measures, each
question answer ranged from strongly disagree (1), disagree (2), neutral (3), agree (4) strongly agree (5). The total perception score was indicated as the following: Positive perception: > 75% of the total perception score, Neutral perception: 50-75% and negative perception if the percent score was less than 50% (Al-Shroby et al., 2021; Yousifa, 2018; Ali et al., 2018; Ibrahim et al., 2013).

Validity of the instrument
The validity of the instrument was ascertained by five experts (two professors and two assistant professors in experts in Maternal and Newborn Health Nursing and one expert in Obstetrics & Gynecology) who judged the instrument for content and internal validity and modifications done.

Reliability of the instrument
Test-retest reliability had been used by the researcher for testing the internal consistency of the instrument. It was done through the administration of the same instrument to the same participants under similar conditions. Scores from repeated testing were compared and some questions were modified (Test re test).

Instrument II: Attitude of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening
This instrument was developed by the researcher and revised by experts through reliability and validity measures to assess the attitude of future couples regarding premarital screening e.g. agree to carry out premarital screening, prefer relative marriage, advice future couples to conduct PMS, appropriate time of carrying out PMS is just before marriage.

Scoring system:
Questions regarding the attitude of the future couples regarding premarital screening were scored as the following each question answer ranged from disagree (1), uncertain (2) to agree (3). The range of scores was from 1-3 which indicates Likert scale used after modification by the researcher. The total score of attitude was indicated as the following: Positive attitude: > 75% of total attitude score, Neutral attitude: 50-75% of total attitude score and negative attitude if the present score was less than 50% (Ibrahim et al., 2013; Al-Shroby et al., 2021; Binshihon et al., 2018; Al Kendi, 2012).

Validity of the instrument
The validity of the instrument was ascertained by five experts (two professors and two assistant professors in experts in Maternal and Newborn Health Nursing and one expert in Obstetrics & Gynecology) who judged the instrument for content and internal validity and modifications done.

Reliability of the instrument
Test-retest reliability had been used by the researcher for testing the internal consistency of the instrument. It was done through the administration of the same instrument to the same participants under similar conditions. Scores from repeated testing were compared and some questions were modified (Test re test…).

Ethical Considerations
An approval from the Faculty of Nursing Ethical and Hearing Committee was obtained on 8/5/2020. Approaches to ensure ethics were considered in the study regarding confidentiality and informed consent. Confidentiality was assured by the use of locked sheets with the names of the future couple replaced by code numbers. All future couples were informed that the information they provided during the study would be kept confidential and used only for statistical purposes after finishing the study. The findings would be presented.
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as a group data with no personal participant's information remaining.

After explanation prior to enrollment in the study, informed verbal consent was obtained from all future couples. Each couple was informed that participation in the study was voluntary, and that they could withdraw from the study whenever they decided to and each one was given the opportunity to freely refuse participation. They were free to ask any question about the study details.

An approval from the Faculty of Nursing Ethical and Hearing Committee was obtained on 8/5/2020. Official letters were taken from the Dean, Faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University, and were submitted to the directors of the Maternal and Child Health (MCH) center (Qebly) in Shebin El-Kom city at Menoufia Governorate to carry out the study. Official permission was obtained to carry out the study from the directors of the above-mentioned settings.

**Ethical Considerations:**

A written permission was obtained from the Dean of Faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University for the directors of the Maternal and Child Health center in Shebin El-Kom City. Confidentiality was achieved by the use of locked sheets with the names of the future couples replaced by code numbers. All future couples were informed that the information they provided during the study would be kept confidential and used only for statistical purposes after finishing the study. The findings would be presented as a group data with no personal participant's information remaining.

After explanation prior to enrollment in the study, a formal written consent was obtained from all future couples regarding their acceptance to participate. Each couple was informed that participation in the study was voluntary, and that they could withdraw from the study at any time and each one was given the opportunity to freely refuse participation. They were free to ask any question about the study details.

**Pilot study:**

A Pilot study was conducted to test the applicability of the instrument, the feasibility of the study and to estimate the time needed for the data collection on 10% of the total sample (36) participants. On the basis of the pilot study results; the researcher rephrased some questions. Hence, the future couples who were chosen in the pilot study were not included in the study sample.

**Procedure:**

A written permission was obtained from the Dean of Faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University for the directors of the Maternal and Child Health center in Shebin El-Kom City. An official letter was submitted from the Dean of the Faculty of Nursing, Menoufia University and was submitted to the director of Qebly Maternal and Child Health (MCH) center in Shebin El-Kom city at Menoufia Governorate to carry out the study. Official permission was obtained to carry out the study from the directors of the above-mentioned settings.

The current study was carried out in two consecutive phases, namely preparatory and implementation phases.

**The preparatory phase:**

An extensive literature review related to the study was done including electronic dissertations, available books, articles, doctoral dissertation, research and peer interaction, and ideas from external sources and periodicals. Official permission was granted from the Maternal and Child health center authorities before starting data collection. The researcher's plan
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explains the procedures for describing the purpose of the study to the participants.

**Implementation phase:**
The researcher applied the implementation phase according to the following steps: At the beginning, the researcher went to the Maternal and Child Health center in Qebli every day except Friday from 9 Am to 1.30 Pm. About 5 to 15 future couples came to the center to obtain a health certificate for marriage but only 5 to 7 future couples agreed to participate in the study. Each couple took about 10 to 15 minutes to answer the questionnaire.

- **The 1st step:** The researcher met the future couples in MCH centers at the time of doing medical tests in the laboratory, as they were waiting for the results of the tests to appear or waiting for their turn to get the tests done, the researcher introduced herself to the studied future couples. The researcher provided a verbal explanation of the purpose of the study and answered all related questions. They were interviewed to complete the demographic data characteristics, personal history of couples, hereditary disease and family history of hereditary disease.

- **The 2nd step:** The researcher used the questionnaire to assess the level of knowledge of future couples regarding premarital screening e.g. hearing before about premarital screening, sources of knowledge about premarital screening (PMS), knowing the meaning of PMS, knowing objectives of PMS, then ask about their perception about premarital screening e.g. premarital screening is important for the future couple, PMS will reduce the prevalence of some genetic disease and consanguinity can increase the risk of hereditary diseases.

- Lastly they were asked about their attitude regarding premarital screening e.g. agree to carry out the premarital screening, prefer relative marriage, advice future couples to conduct PMS, appropriate time of carrying out PMS is just before marriage. The researcher filled out the questionnaire forms from participants within ten to fifteen minutes. Also, each participant was thanked for their participation and their time.

- The data collection started in July 2021 till September 2021.

**Statistical Analysis:**
Data were collected, tabulated and statistically analyzed by an IBM compatible personal computer with SPSS Statistical Package Version 22. Two types of statistics were used, descriptive statistics e.g., number (No) and percent (%). Analytic statistics e.g. Chi-squared test (χ²) was used to study the association between two qualitative variables. Pearson correlation coefficient test (r-test) was used to study the correlation between two parametric quantitative variables. Spearman correlation coefficient test (r-test) was used to study the correlation between variables. A P-value of (≤0.05) was considered statistically significant. A P-value of (≤0.001) was considered highly statistically significant (Bajwa, 2015).

**Results**

Table (1) shows demographic characteristics of the studied future couples. The majority of the studied future couples (80, 9%) were aged less than 30 years. More than half of future couples (67.5%) live in rural areas. Approximately half of the studied future couples (50.9%) were females and (49.4%) were males. One-third of future couples (39.2%) had secondary education and near half of participants (48.9%) had university education or higher.
It also shows that approximately three-quarters of future couples (76.1%) weren't parental consanguinity. The majority of future couples (97.8%, 47.8%) didn't have a personal history and family history of hereditary disease respectively.

**Table (2)** Reveals knowledge of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening. Approximately three-quarters of the future couples (73.3%) heard about PMS. More than half of future couples did not know the meaning and the objectives of PMS (59.4%, 59.7%) respectively. The majority of the future couples didn't know about infectious diseases, a hereditary disease that focused on PMS, different options of places performing PMS, tests that are involved in PMS services, and physical examinations are involved in PMS services (85.3%, 78.1%, 87.5%, 80.3%, 87.2%) respectively.

**Figure (1)** illustrates the source of knowledge regarding PMS. Friends and family were the main source of knowledge about premarital screening and genetic counseling for 46.90% of future couples followed by the internet (21.40%).

**Figure (2)** demonstrates the total knowledge score of the studied future couples about premarital screening. Only (30.60%) had adequate knowledge about premarital screening. **Table (3)** indicates perception of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening. The studied future couples perceive who both agreed or strongly agreed that PMS is important for future couples, it is important to increase awareness about PMS before marriage, PMS will reduce the prevalence of some genetic diseases, PMS will reduce the prevalence of some sexually transmitted diseases, consanguinity can increase the risk of hereditary diseases, religious people should adopt the ideas of PMS in their discussion and marriage decision must be left for freedom of the couple in detecting STDs (76.1%, 77.3%, 66.4%, 60.1%, 70.5%, 67.3%, 71.2%) respectively. It also reveals that half (50.3%) of studied future couples perceive that PMS should be confidential, (40.6%) didn't perceive that PMS causes psychological trouble to the couples, more than half (58.1%) of future couples perceive that law should obligate all future couples to do PMS is important, (68%) of future couples perceive that any disease appeared in one of the couples had to be treated before marriage and majority (83.9%) of future couples perceive that medical counseling is important to be given after getting the results.

**Figure (3)** explains the total perception score of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening. More than half (50.80%) of the studied future couples had a positive perception regarding premarital screening. **Table (4)** represents attitudes of future couples regarding premarital screening and genetic counseling. Approximately three-quarters of the studied future couples (70%) agree to carry out premarital screening and genetic counseling. Three-quarters of the studied future couples (75%) don't prefer relative marriage. The majority of the studied future couples (83.9%) advise future couples to conduct PMS. Approximately three-quarters of the studied future couples (72.2%) agree that the appropriate time for carrying out premarital screening is just before marriage.

**Figure (4)** reveals the total attitude score of the studied future couples about premarital screening. Approximately two-thirds (68.30%) of the studied future couples had a positive attitude regarding premarital screening and genetic counseling.
Table (9) clarifies the correlation between the total knowledge score, the total attitude score and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening. There was a positive correlation between the total knowledge score, the total attitude score and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening. It also shows that there a highly statistically significant difference between the total knowledge score, the total attitude score and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening.

Results

Table (1): Demographic Characteristics of the Studied Future Couples (N=360).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Studied future couples No.=360</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30 years</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 ≥years</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>read and write</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary education</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institute</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>post graduate</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enough</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental consanguinity:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal history of hereditary disease:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of hereditary disease:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table (2): Level of Knowledge of the Studied Future Couples regarding Premarital Screening (N=360).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>The studied future couples</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing before about premarital screening</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>know the meaning of PMS</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>know objectives of PMS</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>know infectious diseases that are focused by PMS</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>know hereditary diseases that are focused by PMS</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>21.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>know different options of places performing PMS</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>know tests that are involved in PMS services</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>know that physical examination is involved in PMS</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Sources of Information of the Future Couples about Premarital Screening (N=360).

Figure (2): Total Knowledge Score of Studied Future Couples regarding Premarital Screening (N=360).
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Table (3): Perception of the Studied Future Couples regarding Premarital Screening (N=360).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>The studied future couples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PMS is important for future couple</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Awareness about PMS before marriage</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PMS will reduce the prevalence of some genetic diseases</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PMS will reduce the prevalence of some sexual transmitted diseases</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Consanguinity can increase the risk of hereditary diseases</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PMSGC should be confidential</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- PMS cause psychological troubles to the couples</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- That religious people should adopt the ideas of PMS in their discussion</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Law should obligate all future couples to do PMSGC is important</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- In detecting STDs, marriage decision must be left for freedom of the couple</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- That medical counseling is important to be given after getting the results</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Any disease appeared in one of the couple has to be treated before marriage</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure (3): Total Perception Score of the Studied Future Couples regarding Premarital Screening (N=360).
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Table (4): Attitudes of the Studied Future Couples regarding Premarital Screening (N=360).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>The studied future couples</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>uncertain</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryout premarital screening</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>70.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer relative marriage</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise future couple to conduct PMS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriate time for carrying out PMS is just before marriage</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure (4): Total Attitude Score of the Studied Future Couples regarding Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling (N=360).

Table (9): Correlation between the Total Knowledge Score, the Total Attitude Score, and the Total Perception Score of Studied Future Couples regarding Premarital Screening (N=360).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>Knowledge score</th>
<th>perception score</th>
<th>Attitude score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spearman's rho</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge score</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception score</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude score</td>
<td>Correlation Coefficient</td>
<td>.042*</td>
<td>.042*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P value</td>
<td>.001**</td>
<td>.042*</td>
<td>.042*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion:
The findings of the current study revealed that the majority of the studied group's future couples' ages were from 18 to 30 years. This finding was supported by Moussa et al. (2018) who studied "Knowledge and Attitude towards Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling Program among Female University Students" in Saudi Arabia. Their finding reported that the studied group's ages ranged from 18 to 30 years. The researcher selected this age group because it is the age of marriage in Egypt. Also, this finding was similar to a study performed by Al-Kindi et al. (2012) who studied "Knowledge and Attitude of University Students towards Premarital Screening Program" in Oman. Their findings revealed that all students' ages ranged from 18 to 27 years. Furthermore, this finding was congruent with Islam et al. (2022) who investigated their studies on "Knowledge and Perception of and Attitude toward a Premarital Screening Program in Qatar". Their findings revealed that the majority of Qatar University students aged from 18 to 30 years. The agreement between the results of the current study and previous studies might be related to the age of marriage in most Arab countries ranges between these ages and this is considered the important age for premarital screening and genetic counseling.

The current study revealed that slightly more than half of the studied future couples were female and slightly less than half were male. This is due to the sample of the current study being future couples. This finding was in line with Ali et al. (2018) who investigated their studies on "Perception about Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Males and Females Nursing Students" in Mansoura, Egypt. Their findings reported that slightly more than half were female and slightly less than half were male. Also, this finding was compatible with Al-Shroby et al. (2021) who conducted their studies about "Awareness of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Saudis and Its Association with Sociodemographic Factors" which mentioned that slightly more than half were female and slightly less than half were male. In addition, Al-Kindi et al. (2012) who studied "Knowledge and Attitude of University Students towards Premarital Screening Program" in Oman reported that slightly more than half were female and slightly less than half were male. This means that it is preferable for the sample to include males and females because of the importance of the examination for both.
The present study showed that approximately three-quarters of the studied future couples weren't parental consanguinity and only one-fourth of the studied future couples had parental consanguinity. This finding was incongruent with Yousifa et al. (2018) who study the "Perception and Satisfaction of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Future Couples of Governmental Outpatient Clinics" in Port Said, Egypt. They concluded that one-third of the studied future couples had parental consanguinity. The agreement between the result of the current study and the previous study might be due to the study being conducted in the same country where people have the same culture and customs. And this is in line with the real rate of consanguineous marriage in Egypt, which ranges from the range one-fourth to two-third.
On the contrary, this finding wasn't in accordance with Moussa et al. (2018)
who investigated "Knowledge and Attitude towards Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling Program among Female University Students" in Saudi Arabia. Their finding reported that more than two-quarter's experienced positive parental consanguinity. This contrast may be due to changes in culture and customs in each republic.

The present study showed that the majority of the studied future couples didn't have personal and family histories of hereditary diseases. This finding was congruent with Ali et al. (2018) who conducted their studies on "Perception about Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Males and Females Nursing Students" in Mansoura, Egypt. Their finding observed that the majority of the studied participants didn't have personal and family histories of hereditary diseases.

Concerning the education level of the studied future couples, the present study showed that nearly half of the studied future couples had a university education or higher. This finding was in harmony with Al-Shroby et al. (2021) who examined "Awareness of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Saudis and Its Association with Sociodemographic Factors" in Saudi Arabia. Their finding showed that nearly half of the studied group didn't have enough income.

Part II: Description of the finding regarding knowledge of the studied future couples regarding premartial screening and genetic counseling.

For knowledge of studied future couples regarding premartial screening and genetic counseling, the present study documented that approximately three-quarters of the studied future couples had heard about PMSGC, but approximately two-thirds of them
studied future couples had inadequate knowledge about premarital screening and genetic counseling. This low score of knowledge might be due to lack of awareness-rising programs about premarital screening and genetic counseling. These findings were in agreement with Yousifa et al. (2018) who investigated their studied concerning "Perception and Satisfaction of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Future Couples of Governmental Outpatient Clinics" in Port Said, Egypt. They indicated that the majority of the studied participants had heard about PMSGC and approximately three-quarters of participants had inadequate knowledge.

In addition, these findings were in line with Ali et al. (2018) who investigated "Perception about Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Males and Females Nursing Students" in Mansoura, Egypt. Their findings reported that the majority of studied subjects were aware of the availability of PMS in Egypt but more than half didn't have correct knowledge about PMSGC. Also, these findings were compatible with Ibrahim et al. (2013) who conducted a study on "An Education Program about Premarital Screening for Unmarried Female Students in King Abdul-Aziz University" their findings reported that the majority of students heard about the PMS program and the majority of the students obtained unsatisfactory knowledge scores.

Besides, these findings were in line with Moussa et al. (2018) who studied "Knowledge and Attitude towards Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling Program among Female University Students" in Saudi Arabia. Their finding reported that the main source of knowledge about the premartial screening was relatives and friends. In addition, these findings were consistent with Yousifa et al. (2018) who implemented their studies on "Perception and Satisfaction of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Female University Students" in Saudi Arabia. They indicated that the main source of information among availability of the program but unfortunately, their knowledge considering the diseases was not sufficient. Furthermore, these findings were compatible with Al-Shroby et al. (2021) who conducted their studies on "Awareness of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Saudis and Its Association with Socio-Demographic Factors" in Saudi Arabia, they reported that all study participants had heard about PMSGC and regarding total knowledge score only about ten percent had satisfactory knowledge. The agreement between the results of the current study and previous studies might be due to a lack of ability to appreciate the seriousness of genetic disorders. The current study illustrated that the friends and family were the sources of knowledge about premarital screening.

This finding was in the same line with Moussa et al. (2018) who studied "Knowledge and Attitude towards Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling Program among Female University Students" in Saudi Arabia. Their findings reported that the main source of information on PMS was from relatives and family. Also, Al-Shroby et al. (2021) who investigated "Awareness of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Saudis and its Association with Socio-Demographic Factors" in Saudi Arabia. Their finding reported that the main source of knowledge about the premartial screening was relatives and friends.

In addition, these findings were consistent with Yousifa et al. (2018) who implemented their studies on "Perception and Satisfaction of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Female University Students" in Saudi Arabia. They indicated that the main source of information among
future couples about premarital screening was family and friends. Also, Ibrahim et al. (2013) who studied "An Education Program about Premarital Screening for Unmarried Female Students in King Abdul-Aziz University" reported that that family and friends were the commonest source of knowledge about premarital screening.

The similarity between the results of the current study and previous studies might be due to that all studies were conducted in Arabic areas and a lack of awareness about premarital screening and genetic counseling.

On the contrary, this finding was inconsistent with Ali et al. (2018) who indicated that their studies on "Perception about Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Males and Females Nursing Students" in Mansoura, Egypt. Their finding reported that the main source of students’ information on PMS was school/faculty. This contraindication is due to the selected sample of nursing students.

Also, Mohamed (2015) who studied "Premarital Care: Health Promotion Program for Female Students in An Shams University". Their finding reported that the main source of knowledge was school or university. This contraindication is due to the fact that all selected sample were from university.

Part III: Description of the findings regarding the perception of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening:-

In relation to perception of future couples regarding premarital screening, the findings of the current study documented that more than half of the studied future couples have a positive perception of premarital screening. Approximately three-quarters of the studied future couples strongly agree that PMS is important for future couples, However, it is important to increase awareness about PMS before marriage, PMSGC will reduce the prevalence of some genetic diseases, PMS will reduce the prevalence of some sexual transmitted diseases, consanguinity can increase the risk of hereditary diseases, religious people should adopt the ideas of PMS in their discussion, marriage decision must be left for freedom of the couple in detecting STDs, the law should obligate all future couples to do PMS is important, any disease appeared in one of the couple had to be treated before marriage and the majority of future couples strongly agree that medical counseling is important to be given after getting the results.

Moreover, the current study findings were in the same line with Ali et al. (2018) who conducted their studies on "Perception about Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Males and Females Nursing Students" in Mansoura, Egypt. Their findings reported that most of the participants had a positive perception regarding PMS and the majority of participants agreed of the importance to carry out PMS, PMS to prevents transmission of diseases, blood relationship is the main cause of genetic diseases and approximately three-quarter of the participants agree that PMSGC must be obligatory and any diseases appeared on one couple must be treated before marriage.

In addition, these findings were in accordance with the findings of Yousifa et al. (2018) who implemented their studies on "Perception and Satisfaction of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Future Couples of Governmental Outpatient Clinics" in Port Said, Egypt. They indicated that most of participants had
a positive perception regarding premarital screening and genetic counseling and the majority of participants agreed that PMS was important for future couples, to decrease the occurrence of genetic or inherited STDs, consanguinity is the main cause of genetic diseases and two-third of the participants agreed that when future couples carrying inherited disease or STDs, the decision of marriage must be left for them, PMS essential to be obligatory, religious personnel should adopt the concepts of PMS in their talk.

Meanwhile Abd-Allah, (2016) who studied "Assessment of Perception Regarding Premarital Screening among Ain-Shams University Students" reported that the majority of the studied sample had a negative perception about the reason of arises diseases as that result from consanguinity marriage. The difference between the results of the current study and previous studies might be related to many factors such as health personals professional knowledge, past experience of individuals, care providers’ technical competencies, and access to health facilities where all of these factors affected on the perception of individuals which influence perceptions.

Part IV: Description of the findings regarding the attitude of the studied future couples regarding premarital screening and genetic counseling:-

For the attitude of future couples towards premarital screening and genetic counseling, the current study results portrayed that almost two-thirds of them studied future couples had a positive attitude and agreed to carryout PMSGC. These findings were in agreement with a study conducted by Alhowiti, et al. (2019) who studied "Premarital Screening Program Knowledge and Attitude among Saudi University Students in Tabuk City". They reported that almost two-thirds of the students had a positive attitude towards premarital screening.

In addition, these findings were consistent with Moussa et al. (2018) who studied "Knowledge and Attitude Towards Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling Program among Female University Students" in Saudi Arabia. Their findings reported that approximately three-quarters of participants had a positive attitude towards premarital screening and genetic counseling programs and agreed of its importance to carry out premarital screening.

Also, these findings were in line with Al-Shroby et al. (2021) who studied "Awareness of Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Saudis and its Association with Socio-Demographic Factors" in Saudi Arabia. Their findings reported that the majority of participants had a positive attitude towards the importance of PMSGC. The agreement between the results of the current study and previous studies reflects that the future couples had a respectable thought of the value of premarital screening and genetic counseling program.

Part X: Description of the findings regarding the correlation among the total knowledge score, the total attitude score, and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening.

In relation to the correlation among knowledge, attitude and perception of future couples related to premarital screening, the present study revealed that there were a positive correlation and a highly statistically significant
correlation among the total knowledge score, total attitude score and perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening. These findings were in agreement with Al-Shafai, et al. (2022) who studied knowledge, perception of and attitude toward a premarital screening program in Qatar. They reported that there were a positive correlation among the total knowledge score, the total attitude score and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening. In addition, these findings were in line with a study conducted by Al Howiti, et al. (2019) who studied "Premarital Screening Program Knowledge and Attitude among Saudi University Students in Tabuk City". They reported that there were a highly statistically significant difference among the total knowledge score, the total attitude score and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening.

Also, these findings were in accordance with the study conducted by Ali, et al (2018) who studied "Premarital Screening and Genetic Counseling among Males and Females Nursing Students" in Mansoura, Egypt. Their findings reported that there was a highly positive correlation between study subjects’ total knowledge, their total perception and attitudes.

CONCLUSION

According to the findings of the present study it can be concluded that:

More than half of the studied future couples had a positive perception of premarital screening. Approximately two-thirds of the studied future couples had a positive attitude about premarital screening. Approximately two-thirds of the studied future couples had inadequate knowledge about premarital screening. There were a positive correlation and a highly statistically difference among the total knowledge score, the total attitude score and the total perception score of studied future couples regarding premarital screening.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the current study, the following are recommended:

Health education should be provided to future couples about premarital screening. Increasing knowledge of the community regarding premarital screening in collaboration with adequate religious support and government policy.

Broadcasting of information about the premarital program through official education should be provided through different types of mass media and public education program regarding tests of premarital screening and complication of consanguinity.

Incorporate the benefits of premarital screening in high school and university curricula.

Suggestions for future studies:-

Providing health education programs to raise awareness and knowledge of single young people regarding premarital screening and genetic counseling.

Studying the effect of education programs on university students’ knowledge, perception and attitude, regarding premarital screening and genetic counseling.
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